



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/539,749

03/31/2000

Peter A. Balkus

A0521/7189

9372

26643

7590

12/23/2008

OLIVER STRIMPEL, PATENT COUNSEL
AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC.
ONE PARK WEST
TEWKSBURY, MA 01876

EXAMINER

VAUGHN, GREGORY J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2178

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/23/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/539,749	Applicant(s) BALKUS ET AL.	
	Examiner GREGORY J. VAUGHN	Art Unit 2178	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 December 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,9,11,12,14,25,26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,9,11,12,14,25,26 and 28-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/30/2008</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Application Background

1. This action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination filed on 12/8/2008.
2. Applicant has amended claims 1, 9, 12, 25, 26 and 28-30, and canceled claims 2-6 and 27. Claims 7, 8, 10, 13 and 15-24 were previously canceled.
3. Claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 14, 25, 26 and 28-30 are pending in the case; claims 1, 9, 12 and 25 are independent claims.
4. Acknowledgement is made to the applicant's submission of an Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 6/30/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

“(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.”

6. Claims 1, 9, 12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escobar et al. US Patent 5,659,793, filed 12/22/1994, patented

8/19/1997, (hereinafter "*Escobar*") in view of Wittenburg et al. US Patent 6,515,656, filed 4/30/1999, patented 2/4/2003, (hereinafter "*Wittenburg*").

7. **Regarding independent claim 1**, the applicant defines temporal and nontemporal media as "*temporal media, such as video, audio and computer-generated animation, and nontemporal media, such as still images, text, hypertext documents etc*" (page 4, lines 12-14). Escobar discloses in Figure 1, a graphical user interface for authoring presentations, with a temporal media timeline (shown as "*Video Time Line*" at reference signs 140 and 141), nontemporal media timelines (shown as "*Program Object Time Line*" at reference sign 160).

Escobar discloses a viewer having access to and using the timeline to display the media presentation. Escobar recites "*Button 174 permits part or all of an application under development to be run and displayed at a location specified, typically in the Display/Edit Window 100, so that the impact of the decisions made in editing of a portion or all of an application may be viewed under run time conditions*" (column 6, lines 41-45). Escobar further recites: "*Button 173 invokes application creation or editing functions which permit objects to be assembled into applications with relative timing specified by their placement along the timeline tracks*" (column 6, lines 37-41).

Escobar discloses an encoder to output a media presentation from the timeline. Escobar recites: "*the objects can be combined and only the combined integrated final application can be sent as a live program*" (column 20, lines 31-33).

Escobar discloses a graphical user interface for authoring presentations with temporal and nontemporal media and their timelines for the multimedia presentation.

Escobar fails to disclose data that defines the portion of the display area in which of the first and second media tracks will be displayed (i.e. the spatial relationship). Wittenburg teaches controlling the spatial relationships of the display of the media objects. Wittenburg recites: “*The techniques described are capable of using a number of different spatial layout techniques and transitions for rendering individual multimedia data items to be presented in a particular presentation area*” (column 14, lines 39-42). Wittenburg further illustrates several presentations with spatially related media objects in Figures 6-10. Wittenburg discloses a user modifying the data defining the spatial relationships – see claim 18.

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill, at the time the invention was made, to combine the multimedia authoring tool of Escobar with the use of spatial relationships, as taught by Wittenburg, in order to create “*a technique for viewing and selecting information by incorporating imagery and other media, as well as text, that uses a hierarchical organization, and deploys controls for speed and direction of information presented*” (Wittenburg, column 2, lines 19-23).

8. **Regarding independent claim 9**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 1, and remains rejected using the same rationale.
9. **Regarding independent claim 12**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 1, and remains rejected using the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2178

10. **Regarding independent claim 25**, the claim is directed toward a computer program product for the system of claim 1, and remains rejected using the same rationale.

11. Claims 11, 14, 26 and 28-30 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escobar in view of Wittenburg, and in further view of Gill et al. US Patent 6,081,262, filed 12/4/1996, patented 6/27/2000, (hereinafter "*Gill*").

12. **Regarding amended dependent claim 11**, Escobar and Wittenburg disclose a multimedia authoring system using timelines of temporal and nontemporal media. Escobar and Wittenburg fail to disclose the use of a time bar to manipulate the media time lines. Gill discloses the use of a time bar in Figure 2, at reference sign PL.

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill, at the time the invention was made to combine the multimedia authoring system of Escobar and Bergman with the controllable time bar of Gill in order "*to combine media objects of multiple diverse types into an integrated multi-media presentation*" (Gill, Column 1, lines 8-10).

13. **Regarding dependent claims 14 and 26**, the claims contain substantially the same subject matter as claim 11, and remain rejected using the same rationale.

14. **Regarding dependent claim 28**, Escobar and Wittenburg disclose a multimedia authoring system using timelines and spatial relationships of temporal and nontemporal media. Escobar and Wittenburg fail to disclose the display area divided

Art Unit: 2178

into frames. Gill teaches the use of display frames. Gill Discloses in Figure 2, a text frame (shown at reference sign TB) and a movie frame (shown at reference sign MB).

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill, at the time the invention was made to combine the multimedia authoring system of Escobar and Bergman with the display frames of Gill in order *“to combine media objects of multiple diverse types into an integrated multi-media presentation”* (Gill, Column 1, lines 8-10).

15. **Regarding dependent claims 29 and 30**, Escobar, Wittenburg and Gill disclose a multimedia authoring system using timelines and spatial relationships of temporal and nontemporal media. Escobar and Gill fail to disclose the multimedia presentation defined by a markup language document (claim 29) and where the markup language document contains additional nontemporal media (claim 30). Wittenburg discloses the use of markup language documents with nontemporal media. Wittenburg recites: *“user interface components may include, for example, JAVA Script code and data, and dynamic HTML files”* (column 4, lines 36-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill, at the time the invention was made, to represent the multimedia presentation of Escobar and Gill as a markup language document with additional content, as taught by Wittenburg, in order to create *“a technique for viewing and selecting information by incorporating imagery and other media, as well as text, that uses a hierarchical organization, and*

Art Unit: 2178

deploys controls for speed and direction of information presented" (Wittenburg, column 2, lines 19-23).

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed 12/8/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
17. **Regarding independent claim 1**, applicant argues that Escobar fails to disclose the claimed specification (page 7, forth paragraph, of the response dated 12/8/2088). However, in the response filed 12/8/2008, applicant has canceled the "specification" limitation in favor of "*data defining the spatial relationship*". Applicant is directed toward the rejection of claim 1, as stated above. Wittenburg is relied upon to show controlling the spatial relationships.
18. **Also regarding independent claim 1**, applicant argues that: "*Wittenberg ... fails to describe how this spatial relationship is achieved*" (page 8, fifth paragraph of the reply filed 12/8/2008). Applicant is directed to the rejection of claim 1, as restated above. Escobar discloses a user authoring a multimedia presentation, as described above. Escobar discloses a user modifying the presentation by specifying a position for an object along the timeline. The position information is the relationship data for the multimedia object. Wittenburg teaches the use of spatial relationships between multimedia objects on a display.

Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Vaughn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4131. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached at (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Stephen S. Hong/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2178

/Gregory J. Vaughn/
Patent Examiner
December 19, 2008